

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update		Who
1.				
	Present:	<p>Chair: Cllr Mark Connolly (MC) Wiltshire Councillor, Cllr Chris Williams (CW) Wiltshire Councillor, Cllr Tony Pickernell (TP) Wiltshire Councillor, Gareth Rogers (GR) Wiltshire Council Highways, Rhiann Surgenor (RS) Wiltshire Council Highways, Humph Jones (HJ), Tidworth Town Council, David Dennis (DD), Collingbourne Ducis PC, Peter Knowlson (PK), Collingbourne Ducis PC, Owen White (OW), Ludgershall Town Council, Richard Semple (RiS), Collingbourne Kingston PC, Phillip Palmer (PP) Collingbourne Kingston PC and Dennis Bottomley (DB) Everleigh Parish Council.</p> <p>Apologies: WO1 Jamie Clarke (JC) RSM TNB Garrison</p>		
2.				
		The minutes of the previous meeting had been circulated and agreed by the Group.		
3.				
		2022-23 Allocation £22,170		

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		2021-22 Underspend of £8,708.63 Available to allocated once existing commitments from 22/23 are accounted for is £15,638.63		
4.				
	MC suggested that the Town Councils and Parishes consider if there are any footpaths that need repairing, waiting restrictions or parking bays they want to be considered as undertaking such issues in bulk will be more cost effective than individual requests. LTC had submitted two requests later in the Agenda. TTC has submitted a list to RS prior to the meeting. MC requested that Parish Councils also submit requests via the LHFIG process prior to the next meeting in Jan.			
5.				
a)	<p><u>Priority No 01</u></p> <p><u>Ref 15-20-3</u></p> <p>Collingbourne Kingston</p> <p>Request for Speed Limit Assessment</p>	<p>The current 30mph zone and signage is failing to slow traffic down as it passes Aughton Junction. It is located so close to the Junction that traffic is only starting to slow down as it passes the Junction. This is creating a very dangerous situation for vehicles that are exiting the Junction on to the A338</p> <p>We would like the 30mph zone and signing to be moved 2-300 yards north of its current positioning. This would allow traffic to slow down in consideration of the 30mph speed limit by the time they get to Aughton Junction. This would dramatically improve the position for vehicles exiting Aughton Junction. We would like to have a speed review conducted to verify our concerns and then move on to having the zone and signage moved north as indicated above.</p> <p>RS confirmed that CKPC would fund 25% of the assessment costs. After a discussion the group agreed to recommend to</p>	TRO to be drafted and sent to CKPC.	GR

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p>Tidworth Area Board for a speed limit assessment to be undertaken on the A338 in CK to assess if the existing 30 mph limit can be extended to the end of the present 40 mph limit.</p> <p>Proposal has been added to works programme for 22-23 and will be progressed in due course. Scheme has been allocated to an Engineer and preparation of the Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) is underway. GR stated that CKPC should receive the draft TRO, hopefully before the next meeting.</p>		
b)	<p><u>Priority No.02</u></p> <p><u>Ref 15-21-02</u></p> <p>A338 Tidworth</p> <p>Parking Issues Outside Post Office</p>	<p><i>The A338 outside of the Post Office is a very busy, often congested part of Pennings Road. There is a pedestrian pavement outside of the Post Office, Tattoo Parlour and Flower Shop. Outside the Flower Shop and Tattoo Parlour there is a short parking area/layby for clients. Outside the Post Office there is no parking but to the side there is an alley way to a residential building behind the Tattoo Parlour.</i></p> <p><i>The pedestrian pavement outside of the Post Office is often used for parking and causes many ructions, and more so now with social distancing and queues forming outside of it. There have been several nasty incidents where individuals have been rude to the Postmistress.</i></p> <p><i>There is also a pelican crossing to the right of the Post Office (as you look at it), so this area is a very busy, which does not need further complications of car parking on the pavement.</i></p> <p><i>There is a clear need to have 2 or 3 bollards or other similar 'No Parking' measures in front of the Post Office parking as agreed at the Tidworth Town Council Meeting dated the 13th April.</i></p> <p>No Objections to the TRO were received. Work has been ordered with the contractor.</p>		

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		Implementation has been on hold due to countywide road marking renewal project, following additional funding from Cabinet. The contractor is now back to project works and this should be completed by Mid-November.		
c)	<p><u>Priority No 03</u></p> <p><u>Ref 15-21-09</u></p> <p>A338 Tidworth</p> <p>Ashdown Estate / South Drive</p> <p>Request for Dropped Kerb Crossing.</p>	<p><i>The A338 south Tidworth has a newly completed estate, call The Ashdown Estate. There is a small roundabout at the junction with Rourke's Drift, and opposite is South Drive. South Drive leads to Tedworth House and Park.</i></p> <p><i>Many families from the Ashdown Estate cross the road near the roundabout, to walk down South Drive to Tedworth Park, for recreational purposes, and to watch events that take place there.</i></p> <p><i>If they did not use South Drive, families would have to walk towards Hampshire Cross and access the park by the Avenue leading to Tedworth House, which is a very long diversion along a very busy main road.</i></p> <p><i>The Ashdown Residents have requested dropped kerbs near to the Rourke's Drift roundabout to ease the situation, by enabling pushchairs, wheelchairs etc to cross the road more safely, and access South Drive, which is a much shorter route.</i></p> <p>GR presented a proposed design to enable safer crossing for residents.</p> <p>GR stated the cost of the scheme would be between £22-£30K and would require 30% of the Group's annual allocation (£6600) as a minimum contribution. The Group and TTC had already contributed £2500 for the design but GR advised that the more provided as a contribution, the more chance it may have in succeeding. The Group agreed to contribute of up to</p>	Substantive bid to be submitted in mid-November.	GR

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p>£4100 and TTC would be asked to fund £3400 to make the total contribution towards the scheme £10K.</p> <p>Substantive bid to be submitted by Mid November deadline.</p>		
d)	<p><u>Priority No 4</u></p> <p><u>Ref 15-21-10</u></p> <p>A338 Collingbourne Ducis</p> <p>A338 / A346 Church Street / High Street</p> <p>Junction Alteration</p>	<p><i>Collingbourne Ducis continues to have issues with speeding across this junction and near misses on a weekly basis. It is far too easy for vehicles to not slow down to a safe speed when turning left onto the High Street coming from Church Street due to the generous nature of the corner. Our second issue with the roundabout is that no sooner does it get re-painted but within a year it is noticeably getting worn away. Having a flat painted roundabout again means vehicles are no slowing sufficiently crossing the roundabout which has several close by entrances to driveways and the pub car park.</i></p> <p><i>Build Raised Roundabout and / or look at slowing down (Building Out) the corner from Church Street to the High Street coming from Tidworth direction</i></p> <p>GR presented a proposed realignment of the roundabout. DD stated that this was not what he thought had been agreed, which was making the A338 the priority and Ludgershall Road a T-Junction.</p> <p>There was a debate on the safety merits of both solutions. It was agreed that a safety audit be undertaken on both options before a decision is taken on this scheme at a cost of approx. £1000. CDPC to confirm a contribution of £250 towards the audit.</p>	<p>Audits will be undertaken prior to the next meeting of the Group.</p>	<p>GR</p>

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		Council's consultants have been commissioned to undertake audits of both options. These will be available for the January meeting.		
e)	<p><u>Ref 15-22-02</u></p> <p>Everleigh Footpath 8 Request for replacement signs</p>	<p><i>Everleigh footpath 8 is a public footpath which starts from the Netheravon Rd Everleigh footpath 200 metres South of the A342 in Everleigh and heads SE for about 1 kilometre to the vicinity of Weatherhill Firs.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Replace the Wiltshire Council public footpath sign at point A and cut the hedge</i> • <i>Erect an additional Wiltshire Council public footpath sign at point B to confirm the route for walkers.</i> <p>DB met with Rights of Way (RoW) officer in August. Outcome was that the RoW officer would contact the Defence Infrastructure Organisation to install styles and gates. WC to provide a footpath sign on the Netheravon Road and way markers for the route.</p>	RoW contact DIO to request installs and signs. RoW to provide sign and way markers.	DB
f)	<p><u>Ref 15-22-03</u></p> <p>Tidworth Ordnance Road</p>	<p><i>Ordnance Road, SP9 7QB, runs from the new main roundabout in Tidworth along the A338 Pennings Road in Tidworth. It is the main route up to the old Ordnance Depot, now a business park, the Tidworth Leisure Centre on Nadder Road SP9 7QA, and the Clarendon Junior and Infant Schools on Wylve Road SP9 7QQ. It will also be the main route to the new Civic Centre once it has been built. The area is very congested especially at high peak times, including school opening and closing times. It becomes a bottle neck of traffic and pedestrians trying to cross Ordnance Road.</i></p>	Assessment ordered for this financial year.	GR

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Request for Improved Pedestrian Facilities	<p><i>Nadder Road and Wylve Road cut across Ordnance Road and is the main route for pedestrians to get to and from the schools.</i></p> <p><i>At the Full Town Council Meeting on 7th June 2022, Councillors agreed to pay a 30% contribution towards a survey to help make this area more accessible, and safe for pedestrians to cross Ordnance Road by means of a zebra crossing.</i></p> <p>GR advised that an assessment of the site would cost £2500. TTC would contribute £750. The Group agreed to fund the remainder of the cost (£1750).</p> <p>Assessment has been added to the 22/23 Works Programme.</p>		
6.	Other Priority schemes			
a)	<p><u>Ref 15-22-01</u></p> <p>Chute</p> <p>Forest Lane</p> <p>Request for Unsuitable for HGV Sign's</p>	<p>The lane is not suitable for HGV's and despite there being a 'Not Suitable for HGV's' sign in place vehicles are still trying to access it. The current sign has been damaged.</p> <p>I have received reports of damage in November and December 2021 and believe that there were reports of damage before I took on the role.</p> <p>https://goo.gl/maps/52AudQ5JX5iudwLp9</p> <p>The 'Not Suitable for HGV's' sign being replaced with 'No HGV's' sign.</p> <p>Chute PC yet to confirm a 25% contribution towards the sign (total cost of £500).</p>	Chute PC to confirm 25% contribution.	CPC

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

7.	Open / Other Issues			
a)				
8.	New Issues			
a)	<p><u>Ref 15-22-04</u></p> <p>Collingbourne Kingston</p> <p>A338</p> <p>Signing Review</p>	<p><i>Our faded speed limit signs are not giving a very strong message to transiting motorists that we take obeying the speed limit in this village very seriously. May we have them refurbished or replaced with new please. We feel this would contribute to our continuing goal to reduce the incidence of speeding in our village, in the interests of road safety and quality of life.</i></p> <p>A comprehensive review would be needed to establish the condition and identification of suitable replacements. A cost estimate cannot be provided until this is complete.</p> <p>RS & PP will meet to review signs in the village.</p>	RS to review signs with PP.	RS
b)	<p><u>Ref 15-22-05</u></p> <p>Ludgershall</p> <p>Mead Road</p> <p>Waiting Restrictions</p>	<p><i>Parking on both sides of the street, causes traffic back up and the inability for emergency services to pass.</i></p> <p><i>Request No Waiting Restrictions as per attached map.</i></p> <p>A review of restrictions would be in the region of £3,000 to £4,000.</p> <p>LTC to agree the length of road to be considered for waiting restrictions on the left-hand side of Meade Road going up the</p>	LTC to agree the length of road on the left-hand side of Meade Road to be considered.	LTC/GR

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p>hill. The Group agreed that the other side of the road have waiting restrictions from the junction to the entrance of the residential car park.</p> <p>LTC have agreed to fund 25% of the assessment cost.</p>		
c)	<p><u>Ref 15-22-06</u></p> <p>Ludgershall</p> <p>High Street</p> <p>Footway Condition / Accessibility</p>	<p><i>The High Street pavement is very badly damaged from years of use and agencies digging up etc. It is difficult for wheelchairs to use the pavement.</i></p> <p><i>LTC have identified the above and attached a map to indicate its location.</i></p> <p>Ballpark estimate for Resurfacing and Traffic Management – Circa £8,000.</p> <p>LTC agreed to fund £2K of the estimated cost. The Group agreed to fund the remainder.</p>	LTC to fund £2K of the estimated cost.	RS/GR
d)	<p><u>Ref 15-22-07</u></p> <p>Ludgershall</p> <p>Granby Gardens</p> <p>20mph Speed Limit & Signs</p>	<p><i>Ludgershall Town Council have discussed this request. They feel that it would be good for the whole estate to be 20 mph, but that the number of signs would be overkill. LTC suggest that the 2 signs at the junction of Simmonds Road and the 2 signs on the bend on Granby Way would be sufficient to do this and would support this proposal. LTC will await costings before agreement.</i></p> <p>The Estate is not currently adopted as Highway and consequently the Highway Authority doesn't have authority, to erect onto private land.</p> <p>This area is not going to be adopted. Management Company responsibility. Close issue.</p>	Issued closed.	

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

e)	<p><u>Ref 15-22-08</u></p> <p>Collingbourne Ducis</p> <p>Cadley Road</p> <p>Refurbishment of Virtual Footway</p>	<p><i>The virtual footpath in Cadley Road has started to wear away and is no longer as clearly visible to drivers.</i></p> <p><i>Re-painting of the Virtual Footpath in Cadley Road to make it clearly visible again.</i></p> <p>Assuming the carriageway surface is in good order, then a refreshing of the existing road markings would be in the order of £2,500.</p> <p>RS has arranged for minor repairs to the highway, which will be completed in two weeks or eight weeks. The Group agreed to fund the lining to restore the virtual footway. CDPC agreed to fund 25% of the cost.</p>	<p>RS has arranged minor road repairs. CDPC agreed to fund 25% (£833) of the £2500 cost.</p>	<p>RS/GR</p>
9.	<p>Other items</p>			
a)	<p>Tidworth to Perham Down Cycle path.</p>	<p>MC reminded the Group that it had used S106 funds to widen part of the path and created a new path down to Kennet Road about three years ago. MC had complained to Wiltshire Councils leader that all monies for such schemes were going to the West of the County or Salisbury and that the East should see funding for its schemes. The leader had informed him that there were funds being sought from Central Government and that we should get schemes prepared.</p> <p>GR stated that Active Travel England oversee schemes and that they need to be more strategic than this scheme. The Group agreed that GR, MC, TP and CW meet with Martin Aldham (the WC lead on cycling schemes/bids) to look at the Perham to Tidworth to Bulford links as a more strategic bid (given that Tidworth to Ludgershall and Perham to Wellington</p>	<p>Issue closed until Tidworth CA is reviewed.</p>	<p>MA</p>

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p>Academy links are already complete). The Chair of the Amesbury LHFIG to be invited?</p> <p>Update from MA;</p> <p>Tidworth to Bulford – Has been included in the Wiltshire Wide Local Cycling Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP) which has just been out to consultation. I have not seen the results of that consultation. It is not in the top group for early work. But I'll continue to push for funds to do a feasibility study.</p> <p>Perham Down to Tidworth; Town Wide : Active Travel England are only likely to fund schemes that are part of an LCWIP. We have a programme to complete LCWIPs for all towns by 2025. In the most recent bid to Active Travel England for LCWIP Development we've sought funding Melksham and Calne during 2022/3. Progression of a townwide LCWIP can be developed but funding will need to be sought externally – Approximate cost is circa £30k.</p> <p>The Group decided that rather than invest money on plans now, wait for the Tidworth Community Area review that will fund such design work. Issue closed for now.</p>		
10.	AOB			
a)	Empress Way Lining	<p>White lining that had worn away and this was a safety issue for pedestrians.</p> <p>Following a discussion on options, it was agreed LTC submit a request for a pedestrian crossing at this point in the road, but if</p>	LTC to submit a request for a pedestrian crossing assessment or pedestrian safety measures.	LTC

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		the site does not qualify for a crossing, other safety issues are considered for the site.		
b)	Collingbourne Kingston	<p>Discussion on Collision Reduction</p> <p>A public meeting is being held in CK village hall on Thursday with Danny Kruger MP and WC representatives. This followed an incident when a lorry from Solstice Park crashed into the River Bourne.</p> <p>RiS asked for an update on the progress of the Highways England review.</p>	<p>Post meeting GR confirmed HE are due to provide an update imminently, with the publication due in Spring 2023.</p>	GR
c)	Footpath Maintenance	<p>HJ stated TTC had some footpaths that needed maintenance work. GR/RS stated that if Parishes have small schemes that could be implemented quickly, they should approach the Group. HJ to seek TTC support for schemes and seek 25% funding. Other Councils advised to do the same.</p> <p>This issue is now at Item 4. Close issue.</p>	<p>Issue closed.</p>	
d)	Short Street, Ludgershall	<p>CW raised the issues of parking and lighting on Short Street. RS stated that LTC should write to her about the overgrown hedge at the junction with Central Street. RS to meet with CW on site to discuss the tree blocking streetlight. Aster would need to be contacted about any waiting restrictions as it maintains half of the road.</p> <p>Issue closed.</p>	<p>Issue closed.</p>	

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

e)	Pennings Road, Tidworth	HJ mentioned a petition requesting traffic calming measures and reduced speed limits on Pennings Road from the Riverbourne roundabout to the Ram Public House junction. HJ & MC had been in correspondence with the petitioner. HJ stated that the speed camera sign was not working correctly & queried if it needed replacing.	RS investigate the camera sign?	RS
f)		RS stated she was moving to GR's team in January 2023. Her post was being advertised. MC thanked RS for her support to the Group, which was echoed by the Group.		
11.	Date of Next Meeting: 16 January 2023.			

Tidworth Local Highway Footway Improvement Group

Highways Officer – Gareth Rogers
Local Highway Officer – Rhiann Surgenor